Restore the Balance Candidate Views Assessment Rubric

Criteria	1 - Poor	2 - Fair	3 - Good	4 - Very Good	5 - Excellent
Supports RTB's	Little to no support for	Some support, but	Generally supports	Strongly supports RTB	Fully embodies RTB
principles (40%)	RTB principles; views	significant concerns	RTB principles with	principles with clear	principles;
Survey Questions 1, 3,	are highly extreme. (8	remain about	minor exceptions. (24	understanding. (36	demonstrates
<mark>4, 6</mark>	pts.)	alignment with RTB	pts.)	pts.)	exceptional
		principles. (16 pts.)			understanding and
					advocacy. (40 pts.)
Putting public interest	Prioritizes party	Occasionally prioritizes	Balances party and	Mostly prioritizes	Consistently puts
ahead of party (20%)	interests over public	party over public	public interest, but	public interest with	public interest ahead
Survey Questions 1, 5,	interest consistently.	interest. (8 pts.)	leans towards party.	rare exceptions. (16	of party; exemplary
6	(4 pts.)		(12 pts.)	pts.)	commitment. (20 pts.)
Qualified to serve in	Lacks qualifications	Minimal qualifications;	Some relevant	Well-qualified;	Exceptionally qualified;
the office they are	and understanding of	unclear on how to	qualifications and	demonstrates clear	shows deep
seeking (20%)	responsibilities. (4 pts.)	serve effectively. (8	understanding of role.	knowledge of	understanding and
Survey Questiosn 1, 2		pts.)	(12 pts.)	responsibilities. (16	readiness to serve. (20
				pts.)	pts.)
Grasp of issues facing	Little to no	Basic awareness of	Adequately	Strong grasp of local	Exceptional
Western Colorado	understanding of local	some issues but lacks	understands local	issues with clear and	understanding of local
(20%)	issues; very vague. (4	depth. (8 pts.)	issues with some	relevant examples. (16	issues; provides
Survey Questions 1, 7	pts.)		specific examples. (12	pts.)	detailed and
			pts.)		actionable insights. (20
					pts.)

Each candidate will be scored based on their responses to the survey on the five point scale for the four categories. Raters will seek to gain consensus on each category. If ratings are off by more than one column (e.g., one rater scores "3-Good" and another rates "5-Excellent") on the same category, raters will discuss why they made their ratings and try to get ratings closer together (e.g., one rater changes their scores so it is something like "4-very good" and "5-Excellent" or one rater moves in the opposite direction). Points awarded for each column are based on the average score for each category, rounded to the nearest whole number. Scoring guide: Poor=20-36, Fair=36-52, Good=52-68, Very Good=68-84, Excellent=84-100. Minimum points possible=20, Max=100.